

Section of Concept Paper	Project Criteria	Score
Wildfire Risk Reduction Activities	A description of wildfire mitigation activities the organization has engaged in is provided. Organization demonstrates that wildfire mitigation is a critical focus of the community wildfire risk reduction strategy. <i>Score 0-5</i>	0-5
Project Description	Clearly describes the project and its objectives, roles of key players, and anticipated outcomes for the local community as a result of the proposed project. The outcomes appear to align with the proposed budget and timeline. <i>Score 0-5</i>	0-5
Project Timeline	Identifies the timeline for each activity in the AIM-funded project, highlighting milestones along the way. Timeline is realistic with the 1 year AIM grant timeframe. <i>Score 0-5</i>	0-5
Strategic Value of Project	Explains how the project fits into the greater community wildfire mitigation strategy. Describes how the project or added capacity will lead to increased mitigation activities or create broader collaborative risk reduction efforts across the landscape. Does project cross land ownership boundaries? Was it identified in a CWPP, Wildfire Risk Assessment, or FEMA Plan? Is the project site in proximity to lands that have recently been treated or are proposed for treatment? <i>Score 0-5</i>	0-5
Maintenance and Sustainability	Describes how the project will remain effective after the AIM Grant period by clearly outlining commitments, future funding, monitoring measures, and/or environmental factors. <i>Score 0-5</i>	0-5
Budget Table and Narrative	The budget and matching tables are completed in their entirety, including the names of all match contributors. The totals are properly calculated and 1:1 match is provided. The Budget Narrative clearly describes how each line of funding in the budget will be used and where match will come from, describing contributions of any partners. <i>Score 0-5</i>	0-5
Disadvantaged Community	The community impacted by the project qualifies as socially vulnerable, and adequate evidence has been provided to prove the case. Here are a few tools AIM uses, but if the applicant is not ranking high based on these tools, please explain how you're coming to the conclusion that you're under-resourced: https://screeningtool.geoplatform.gov/en/#3/33.47/-97.5 https://headwaterseconomics.org/equity/rural-capacity-map/ <i>Score 0-10, higher score for socially vulnerable communities</i>	0-10
Community Wildfire Risk	The community impacted by the project appears to be in moderate to high risk of wildfire. <i>Score 0-10, higher the score the greater the risk</i>	0-10